Reuse and Quality Enhancement via Computation and Distribution of Component Derivative Rewards

J.A. Carballo, R. Montoye, W. Belluomini, D. Cohn

IBM Research

April 16, 2002

Motivation

Tight cost, time-to-market, performance constraints

- Must evaluate potential for components to be implemented with existing components
- Must incorporate reusability in own components
- Component reuse solution difficult to apply
 - Must satisfy schedule development commitments
 - All parties in reuse transaction must benefit
 - Corporate entity as a whole must profit
- Is it possible to reconcile these requirements?

Types of Component Reuse



The Component Reuse Problem



Prior Work

Hardware reuse approaches

Design support and protection aspects

- Software reuse techniques and economics
 - Technical and measurement aspects
- Massive taskforces/management actions
 - Cannot avoid proliferation of designs
- Stock options and variable pay
 - Too diluted in quantity
- Innovation management techniques
 - Information and process management, costing
- Must address incentives to produce reusable designs

Overview of Approach

- Methodology and system for encouraging reuse
 Multiple reward or compensation structure
- Encourages team to use existing components
 - Rewards team that reuses a component
- Encourages team to incorporate reusability in own component
 - Rewards individual members of team
 - Rewards corporate entity that "sponsors" team
- Administrator may adjust the relative rewards
 - Modulate preference for innovation in selected areas

Process Flow Description



Benefits

Increase in reuse

Due to expectation of payments

Increase in quality

- In terms of reusability (e.g., documentation)
- Improves return on investment (ROI)

Improved cross-functional cooperation

- To produce reusable intellectual property (IP)
- Common interest to maximize rewards

Improved engineer retention

- Expectation of payments
- Satisfaction of multiple uses for innovations

Funding Model (Linear Version)



LEGEND

V = market value	α = producer reward coeff.	χ = consumer reward coeff.
IP = component	β = sponsor reward coeff.	(F,R) =funding & resources

System Architecture



Example Description

Serial I/O circuit

Initially intended for embedded application

Assumptions

- Linear reward model
- Two cases considered, using different reward parameters for the IP producer.

Parameter	Case 1	Case 2
α (producer reward)	10%	15%
β (sponsor reward)	10%	10%
χ (consumer reward)	5%	5%

Results for Component Producer



Observations

Break-even" point achieved due to

- Income derived from direct reward
- Higher component value due to faster design
- Enables independence effect
 - Reduced need for sponsor resources and funding
 - Can mitigate by controlling reward
- Can effect innovation
 - Creativity discouraged by higher reward rate
 - Decreasing reward function may be needed

Conclusions

Method and system encourages reuse by

- Evaluating and responding to opportunity to use previous designs
- Developing components with regard to future reuse
- Rewarding the component innovator, and
- Rewarding the component consumer

Further work

- Development of support infrastructure
- Valuation and reward management engine
- Techniques to optimally determine reward rates.

Outstanding Questions

Rewards

- How to compute optimal reward functions?
- What is the global objective function to maximize?
- Can strategic aspects be accounted for?

Valuation

- What valuation methodology provides best result?
- How to value a component only for internal use?
- How to value a component bundled in a product?